

Designing Scalable Communication and I/O Schemes for Accelerating Big Data Processing in the Cloud

Shashank Gugnani

The Ohio State University E-mail: gugnani.2@osu.edu http://web.cse.ohio-state.edu/~gugnani/

Introduction to Big Data Analytics and Trends

- Big Data has changed the way people understand and harness the power of data, both in the business and research domains
- Big Data has become one of the most important elements in business analytics
- Big Data and High Performance Computing (HPC) are converging to meet large scale data processing challenges
- Running High Performance Data Analysis (HPDA) workloads in the cloud is gaining popularity
 - According to the latest OpenStack survey, 27% of cloud deployments are running HPDA workloads





http://www.coolinfographics.com/blog/tag/data?currentPage=



http://www.climatecentral.org/news/white-house-brings-together-big-data-andclimate-change-17194

SC '18

Drivers of Modern HPC Cloud Architectures





High Performance Interconnects –

InfiniBand (with SR-IOV)

<1usec latency, 200Gbps Bandwidth>

Multi-core Processors

- Multi-core/many-core technologies
- Large memory nodes
- Remote Direct Memory Access (RDMA)-enabled networking (InfiniBand and RoCE)
- Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV)
- Solid State Drives (SSDs), Object Storage Clusters



SSDs, Object Storage Clusters



Large memory

nodes

(Upto 2 TB)

Summary of HPC Cloud Resources

- High-Performance Cloud systems have adopted advanced interconnects and protocols
 - InfiniBand, 40 Gigabit Ethernet/iWARP, RDMA over Converged Enhanced Ethernet (RoCE)
 - Low latency (few micro seconds), High Bandwidth (200 Gb/s with HDR InfiniBand)
 - SR-IOV for hardware-based I/O virtualization
- Vast installations of Object Storage systems (e.g. Swift, Ceph)
 - Total capacity is in the PB range
 - Offer high availability and fault-tolerance
 - Performance and scalability is still a problem
- Large memory per node for in-memory processing

Big Data in the Cloud: Challenges and Opportunities

- Scalability requirements significantly increased
- Explosion of data
- How do we handle huge amounts of this data?
- Requirement for more efficient and faster processing of Data
- Advancements in computing technology
 - RDMA, SR-IOV, byte addressable NVM, NVMe
- How can we leverage the advanced hardware?

Our Goal

- Scalable Cloud Storage
 - Quality of Service and Consistency paramount
 - Need for newer algorithms and protocols
- Performant Communication Middleware
 - Use high-performance networking
 - Topology-aware communication

Scalable Cloud Storage

- **Re-designed Swift architecture** for improved scalability and performance
- Two proposed designs:
 - **Client-Oblivious Design**: No changes required on the client side
 - Metadata Server-based Design: Direct communication between client and object servers; bypass proxy server
- RDMA-based communication framework for accelerating networking performance
- High-performance I/O framework to provide maximum overlap between communication and I/O
- New consistency model to enable legacy applications to run on cloud storage

Client-Oblivious Design

- No change required on the client side
- Communication between client and proxy server using conventional TCP sockets networking
- Communication between proxy server using high-performance RDMA-based networking
- Proxy Server is still the bottleneck!



Metadata Server-based Design

- Re-designed architecture for improved scalability
- Client-based replication for reduced latency and high-performance
- All communication using highperformance RDMA-based networking
- Proxy Server no longer the bottleneck!



POSIX-like Consistent Cloud Storage



Evaluation with WordCount



WordCount

² Up to 83% improvement

- over SwiftFS
- Up to 64% improvement over HDFS
- With HDFS, data needs to be copied to/from Swift

QoS-Aware Storage

- Linux I/O priority system to transfer priority information to underlying runtime
- Hardware-based NVMe request arbitration
- Mechanisms to provide I/O bandwidth SLAs
- Request-size agnostic QoS algorithm

Bandwidth SLA Application		
QoS Algorithm Linux I/O Priority System OS		
	Hardware-based Arbitration NVMe SSD	
QoS-aware Storage Stack		

QoS-aware Storage



- Synthetic application scenarios with different QoS requirements
 - Comparison using SPDK with Weighted Round Robbin NVMe arbitration
- Near desired job bandwidth ratios
- Stable and consistent bandwidth

S. Gugnani, X. Lu, and D. K. Panda, Analyzing, Modeling, and Provisioning QoS for NVMe SSDs, UCC'18

Topology-aware Communication: Map Task Scheduling



- Co-located VMs can communicate using loopback, without having to go through the network switch
- Maximize communication between co-located VMs
- Allocate Map tasks on a co-located
 VM before considering rack-local
 nodes or off-rack nodes
- Reduces inter-node network traffic through locality-aware communication

Topology-aware Communication: Container Allocation



- Co-located VMs can communicate using loopback, without having to go through the network switch
- Maximize communication between co-located VMs
- Allocate Containers on a co-located VM before considering rack-local nodes or off-rack nodes
- Reduces inter-node network traffic through locality-aware communication

Evaluation with Applications



- 14% and 24% improvement with Default Mode for CloudBurst and Self-Join
- 30% and 55% improvement with Distributed Mode for CloudBurst and Self-Join

Conclusion

- Preliminary work to design Cloud-aware Storage and Communication Middleware
- QoS, Consistency, Scalability, and Performance as design goals
- Experimental results on working prototype are encouraging
- Future work
 - More work along storage direction
 - Use of NVMe, NVM, etc.
 - Additional design goals: Fault-tolerance and Availability

Thanks!

gugnani.2@osu.edu



Network-Based Computing Laboratory <u>http://nowlab.cse.ohio-state.edu/</u>

The High Performance Big Data Project (HiBD)

http://hibd.cse.ohio-state.edu/